Date: 8/26/2022  
Time: 9:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.  
Meeting location: Zoom

Minutes

1 Welcome – Judge O’Grady

2 Subcommittees
   - Committee discussed study topics for each subcommittee
   - General Language/Forms/Appendices
     - Discussion of whether it is feasible to do a self-guided interview; DCF is doing this and will share the information; A. Raymond described the judicial branch marriage license and protection order portals
     - C. Harris stated he does not believe the short form DRA is valuable because it only has one person’s income; R. Samaniego suggested the property piece of the full DRA could be an addendum; S. Mayer suggested adding lines to the child support worksheet to list some of the items
   - Tax Considerations
     - C. Harris stated there is a difference when calculating the tax consideration by hand and when using Bradley software; EZ soft now owns Bradley
   - Parenting Time
     - No additional topics for the list
     - S. Loveland stated when starting to discuss the topics, more items may come up
     - Discussion of tracking equal parenting time and use of parenting plan
     - Judge K. O’Grady stated may need to reemphasize need for 50/50 parenting time plan to be filed and followed
   - Income Considerations
     - C. Harris stated need to discuss whether federal minimum wage is appropriate as imputed income
     - S. Loveland asked whether ability to pay language is still needed to comply with federal regulations
     - R. Samaniego stated a judge is imputing childcare costs when imputing income; asked if others seeing this as well; S. Loveland stated caselaw states “no other reasonable alternative” allows imputing childcare and agreed it would be good to look at this
   - Adjustments
     - C. Harris stated need to look at multiple cases with one non-custodial parent – believes we need to look at multifamily adjustment
     - Committee discussed looking a car and car insurance costs, college tuition while a child is still in high school
     - Committee discussed direct expenses list and whether there needs to be a specific definition or a more specific list
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| o R. Brady suggested a general explanation of how tables work and what is included in child support  
  o R. Samaniego asked that the committee examine language around when both parents carry insurance |
| 3 Other items for discussion  
  • Economist scope of work: C. Harris asked whether economist could determine whether 50/50 shared is comparable to equal parenting time calculation; S. Loveland commented she rarely sees arguments – must use shared expenses and use 5-2-2-5 or 2-2-3 arrangement and not using equal parenting time; Judge K. O’Grady commented he uses EPT almost exclusively |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Next Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Date:** 10/28/2022  
**Time:** 9:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.  
**Meeting location:** Zoom  
[https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86119491942](https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86119491942)  
Meeting ID: 861 1949 1942  
Passcode: 485069 |