

# KANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

---

## SUMMARY CALENDAR - NO ORAL ARGUMENT

The parties are hereby notified when sentencing is challenged in any criminal appeal, the State, under Supreme Court Rule 2.042, has a continuing obligation to notify the appellate court clerk, in writing, of any change in the custodial status of the defendant during the pendency of the appeal. The State should determine the defendant's custodial status when the case is scheduled for oral argument or assigned to the summary calendar docket.

These cases shall be deemed submitted without oral argument, and an opinion may be released prior to the regularly scheduled docket without further notice. The cases will receive full consideration by the assigned panel of Judges.

---

Before SCHROEDER, P.J., GREEN and GARDNER, JJ.

**Thursday, May 12, 2022**

|         |   |
|---------|---|
| 122,960 | Douglas D. Peterman v. State of Kansas    |
| 123,577 | State of Kansas v. Marvin M. Nickles Jr.  |
| 124,115 | State of Kansas v. Russell Walter         |
| 124,111 | State of Kansas v. Justin R. Freeman      |
| 124,049 | State of Kansas v. Billy Daryl Jackson    |
| 124,053 |   |
| 124,000 | Stephen Alan Macomber v. State of Kansas  |
| 124,006 |   |
| 124,007 |   |
| 123,537 | Michael Andrew Paulson v. State of Kansas |
| 124,008 | State of Kansas v. Lee M. Wetter          |
| 123,938 | Kim E. Crum v. State of Kansas            |
| 124,181 | State of Kansas v. Lonnie Ray Gillis Jr.  |
| 123,841 | State of Kansas v. Nathan Brazille        |

# KANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

---

## SUMMARY CALENDAR - NO ORAL ARGUMENT

---

Before SCHROEDER, P.J., GREEN and GARDNER, JJ.

**Thursday, May 12, 2022, continued**

- |         |  |
|---------|--|
| 123,711 | Kenneth D. Leek v. Andy Brown, et al.                      |
| 124,118 | Marcus Jackson v. State of Kansas                          |
| 124,298 | State of Kansas v. Gustavo Augusto Carrillo                |
| 124,445 | In the Matter of the Estate of Hazel Pauline Smith-Tindall |