## SUMMARY CALENDAR - NO ORAL ARGUMENT

The parties are hereby notified when sentencing is challenged in any criminal appeal, the State, under Supreme Court Rule 2.042, has a continuing obligation to notify the appellate court clerk, in writing, of any change in the <u>custodial status</u> of the defendant during the pendency of the appeal. <u>The State should determine the defendant's custodial status when the case is scheduled for oral argument or assigned to the summary calendar docket.</u>

These cases shall be deemed submitted without oral argument, and an opinion may be released prior to the regularly scheduled docket without further notice. The cases will receive full consideration by the assigned panel of Judges.

| Before GREEN, P.J., GARDNER, J., and PATRICK D. MCANANY, S.J.<br>Wednesday, March 8, 2023 |   |
|---|---|
| 125,291   | State of Kansas v. Rogelio J. Soto                |
| 125,650   | Bobby Bruce White v. Shannon Meyer, Warden        |
| 125,429   | In the Interests of J.T. and M.T., Minor Children |
| 124,795   | State of Kansas v. Jason Charlsen                 |
| 125,175   | State of Kansas v. Christopher L. Waisner         |
| 125,007   | Gerrian J. Green v. State of Kansas               |
| 125,062   | State of Kansas v. Davorious R. Holloman          |
| 125,228   | State of Kansas v. Michelle Leigh Ecklund         |
| 124,448   | State of Kansas v. Breyon Darnell Mukes           |
| 124,442   | State of Kansas v. Mark Edward Baldwin            |
| 125,017   | Curtis Coleman v. State of Kansas                 |
| 125,020   | State of Kansas v. James M. Phillips              |